

From: HarborComments <HarborComments@epa.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, September 06, 2016 1:47 PM
To: PortlandHarbor
Subject: FW: Portland deserves a better cleanup plan

-----Original Message-----

From: (b) (6)
Sent: Friday, September 02, 2016 6:20 AM
To: HarborComments <HarborComments@epa.gov>
Subject: Portland deserves a better cleanup plan

Dear Administrator Gina McCarthy,

Why should our children, the next generations be saddled with current problems like the Portland Harbor Superfund Site. The EPA's draft cleanup plan relies heavily on monitored natural recovery, leaving 85% of the lead, PCBs, DDT, mercury, arsenic and other contaminants in the Superfund area. The EPA must enforce its authority more effectively. Those most responsible for this pollution, a major disruption to natural systems and a safety threat to humans, plants, animals and water, must be required to pay to clean up this pollution. It's up to government and the public to hold polluters accountable.

Please significantly revise the plan in the following ways:

1. EPA should select Alternative G with additional dredging in areas of high human use, areas of high ecological value, and areas where there is high risk of recontamination of the river.
2. EPA should set much clearer timelines and metrics for success including setting an explicit date by which Portland Harbor specific fish consumption advisories will be lifted.
3. EPA should select an alternative that allows all members of our community to eat fish safely from our river, including woman of childbearing age and children.
4. EPA's cleanup plan should include the heavily contaminated uplands as well as the heavily contaminated river.
5. EPA should do a much better job of addressing environmental justice issues, including reviewing and correcting significant deficiencies in its public engagement strategies for future phases of the Superfund process and delineating strategies for ensuring the jobs, economic benefits and other benefits associated with the Superfund process to the local community and particularly to underserved communities that have been impacted by contamination in Portland Harbor.
6. EPA should eliminate the confined disposal facility which would create a permanent toxic waste dump in our river.

This letter by (b) (6) Portland, OR 97215

Thank you.

Sincerely,

(b) (6)

(b) (6) [REDACTED]
Portland, OR 97215